# **Direct detection of SIDM**

#### Sean Tulin

w/ Manoj Kaplinghat & Haibo Yu [1308.0618 + *in progress*]

# Particle physics of SIDM

- Dwarf scale anomalies point toward large cross section for DM particle  $\chi$  $\sigma/m_{\chi} \sim 1 \text{ cm}^2/\text{g} \approx 2 \text{ barns/GeV}$
- Typical WIMP:  $\sigma \sim 1 \text{ pb, } m_{\gamma} \sim 100 \text{ GeV}$  $\sigma/m_{\chi} \sim 10^{-14} \text{ barns/GeV}$
- Suggests dark force mediator  $\varphi$  much lighter than weak scale

 $m_{\phi} \sim 1 - 100 \text{ MeV}$ 

# Particle physics of SIDM

- Light mediator gives efficient annihilation channel for relic density:  $\chi \bar{\chi} \rightarrow \phi \phi$ 
  - Symmetric or asymmetric DM  $\langle \sigma v \rangle_{
    m ann} \gtrsim 6 imes 10^{-26} \ {
    m cm}^3/{
    m s}$
- Light mediator means self-interaction is velocity dependent (like Rutherford scattering)
  - Self-interacting DM in dwarf halos (v ~ 30 km/s)
  - Collisionless DM in larger halos (e.g. v ~ 3000 km/s for Bullet Cluster)

# Light mediators in the dark sector

What do self-interactions have to do with direct detection?



What happens to the light mediator  $\phi$ ?

- Assume decays to SM particles before BBN
- Simplest scenario (doesn't affect cosmology)

# Light mediators in the dark sector

#### Decay $\phi \rightarrow$ SM fermions before BBN

- Maximum lifetime for  $\phi$  to decay ~ 1 second
- Minimal coupling between dark sector and SM
- Lower bound on direct detection cross section

#### Direct detection cross section

- Suppressed by tiny coupling (long  $\phi$  lifetime)
- Enhanced by light mediator mass  $\sim 1-100~\text{MeV}$

Generically expect  $\phi$  coupled to SM What is the reach of direct detection for SIDM?

## **Direct detection**



Exciting/confusing time!

Future limits: XENON1T, LUX, CDMS-lite, SuperCDMS, PandaX, ...

# SIDM and direct detection

# Self-interactions change phase space distribution of DM halo



O(10%) effect on DM recoil rate in direct detection experiments Also effect annual modulation amplitude and phase

# Simplified models for SIDM

- DM  $\chi$  is a Dirac fermion
- Mediator  $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$  is a real scalar or vector

 $\mathscr{L}_{\text{int}} = \begin{cases} g_{\chi} \bar{\chi} \gamma^{\mu} \chi \phi_{\mu} & \text{vector mediator} \\ g_{\chi} \bar{\chi} \chi \phi & \text{scalar mediator} \\ \text{See also Bellazzini, Cliche, Tanedo (2013)} \end{cases}$ 

- Self-interactions through a Yukawa potential  $V(r) = \pm \frac{\alpha_{\chi}}{r} e^{-m_{\phi}r}$ , where  $\alpha_{\chi} = g_{\chi}^2/(4\pi)$
- Calculate parameter space for SIDM vs  $\alpha_{\chi}$ , m<sub> $\chi$ </sub>, m<sub> $\mu$ </sub>, m<sub> $\phi$ </sub>, and v (relative velocity)

*ST, H.-B. Yu, K. Zurek (2012 + 2013); see also Buckley & Fox (2010)* 

# Portals to the dark sector

- 1. Vector mediator ( $\phi$  mixes with Z or  $\gamma$ )
  - Kinetic mixing with photon

$$\mathscr{L}_{\rm mix} = -\frac{\varepsilon_{\gamma}}{2} \,\phi_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}$$

Holdom (1984); Pospelov et al (2007); Arkani-Hamed et al (2009); Lin et al (2011) ...

• Z mass mixing ( $\varepsilon_z$  is Z- $\phi$  mixing angle):

 $\mathscr{L}_{\rm mix} = \varepsilon_Z m_Z^2 \, \phi_\mu Z^\mu$ 

Babu et al (1997); Davoudiasl et al (2012) ...

- 2. Scalar mediator
  - Higgs mixing ( $\varepsilon_h$  is h- $\phi$  mixing angle)  $\mathscr{L}_{\text{mix}} = -\varepsilon_h m_h^2 \phi h$

(Assume  $\epsilon <<$  1,  $m_{\phi} \sim 1 - 100$  MeV <<  $m_{z}$ )

# Portals to the dark sector

- Kinetic mixing with photon
  - No photon mass
  - No coupling of photon to DM (no millicharged DM)
  - Couple mediator  $\phi$  to SM fermions with EM charge
- Mixing with Z boson

– Couple mediator  $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$  to weak neutral current

## Portals to the dark sector

Want φ to decay before BBN time ~ 1 second
Focus on vector mediator only (work in progress)
Lifetime (similar for both kinetic and Z mixing):

$$1/\Gamma_{\phi} \sim 1 \text{ second} \times \left(\frac{10^{-10}}{\varepsilon_{\gamma,Z}}\right)^2 \left(\frac{10 \text{ MeV}}{m_{\phi}}\right)$$

Final states:

- Kinetic mixing:  $\phi$  decays to all  $e^+e^-$ BR $(\phi \to e\bar{e}) \approx 1$ , BR $(\phi \to \nu\bar{\nu}) = 0$
- Z mixing:  $\phi$  decays mostly to neutrinos BR $(\phi \rightarrow e\bar{e}) \approx 1/7$ , BR $(\phi \rightarrow \nu \bar{\nu}) \approx 6/7$

(Neutrino-rich indirect detection signals)

# Constraints on kinetic mixing



Kinetic mixing case very constrained for SIDM:  $\varepsilon_{\gamma} \sim 10^{-10}$  (!) Different (weaker?) constraints for Z mixing case

# Direct detection

Parameterize  $\phi$ -nucleon coupling as:  $e\varepsilon_{eff}$ Spin-dependent DM-nucleon direct detection cross section ( $q^2 = 0$  limit):

$$\sigma_{\chi n}^{\rm SI} = \frac{16\pi\alpha_{\chi}\alpha_{\rm em}\mu_{\chi n}^2\epsilon_{\rm eff}^2}{m_{\phi}^4} \approx 10^{-24} \ {\rm cm}^2 \times \epsilon_{\rm eff}^2 \left(\frac{\alpha_{\chi}}{10^{-2}}\right) \left(\frac{30 \ {\rm MeV}}{m_{\phi}}\right)^4$$

Interaction portal with SM governed by  $\epsilon_{\text{eff}}$ 

- Kinetic mixing:  $\varepsilon_{eff} = \varepsilon_{\gamma} (Z/A)$
- Z mixing:  $\varepsilon_{eff} = 0.35 \varepsilon_{z} (N/A)$

Note: two cases are isospin-violating *Frandsen et al (2011)* 

XENON100 limits approaching 10<sup>-45</sup> cm<sup>2</sup> Sensitivity to  $\epsilon_{\rm eff} \sim 10^{-10}$  (Interesting for BBN limit!)

# Direct detection implications for SIDM

Solving small scale anomalies puts a constraint on direct detection.

Repulsive SIDM with  $\alpha_{\chi} = 10^{-3} - 10^{-1}$  $10^{-38}$ Fixed  $\sigma/m_{\chi} = 1 \text{ cm}^2/\text{g}$  on dwarf scales Kinetic mixing with fixed  $\varepsilon_{\gamma} = 10^{-9}$  $10^{-39}$  $m_{\phi}$  and  $\alpha_{\gamma}$  fixed by  $[\epsilon = 10^{-9}]$ self-interactions  $10^{-40}$  $\sigma_{\chi p}^{\rm SI} ~({\rm cm}^2)$ Smaller  $\alpha_{\gamma}$  $10^{-41}$ compensated by  $10^{-42}$ smaller m<sub>b</sub>  $\alpha_{\gamma} = 10^{-3}, 10^{-2.5}, 10^{-2}, 10^{-1.5}, 10^{-1}$  $10^{-43}$ 5 50 100 5001000 10  $m_{\chi}$  (GeV)

- Three parameters:  $(\alpha_{\chi}, m_{\chi}, m_{\phi})$
- Relic density determined by usual freeze-out (fixes  $\alpha_{\chi}$ )  $\chi \bar{\chi} \rightarrow \phi \phi \quad \alpha_{\chi} \approx 4 \times 10^{-5} (m_{\chi}/\text{GeV})$
- CMB constraint on DM annihilation  $\chi \bar{\chi} \rightarrow \phi \phi \rightarrow e^+ e^- e^+ e^$  $m_{\chi} > 30 \text{ GeV*BR}(\phi \rightarrow e^+ e^-) \qquad Galli \ et \ al \ (2009); \ Slatyer \ et \ al \ (2009); \ Lopez-Honorez \ et \ al \ (2013)$
- Self-interactions in dwarfs to solve small scale anomalies  $0.1 \leq \sigma/m_{\chi} \leq 10 \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}$  for v ~ 30 km/s

Vogelsberger et al (2012); Rocha et al (2012)

• Constraints from halo shapes (ellipticity of group halos)

 $\sigma/m_\chi \lesssim 1~{
m cm}^2/{
m s}~$  for v ~ 300 km/s  $_{\it Peter\,et\,al}$  (2012)

• Bullet cluster constraint

 $\sigma/m_\chi \lesssim 1~{
m cm}^2/{
m s}~$  for v ~ 3000 km/s Randall et al (2007)







SIDM region for solving dwarf anomalies



SIDM region for solving dwarf anomalies



Shaded region: solve dwarf anomalies

#### Halo shape bound



Shaded region: solve dwarf anomalies

Halo shape bound

**Direct detection** 



SIDM coupled via kinetic mixing

Shaded region: solve dwarf anomalies

Halo shape bound

**Direct detection** 



SIDM coupled via kinetic mixing

Shaded region: solve dwarf anomalies

Halo shape bound

**Direct detection** 

**CMB** constraint



SIDM coupled via Z mixing

Shaded region: solve dwarf anomalies

Halo shape bound

**Direct detection** 

**CMB** constraint



SIDM coupled via Z mixing

Shaded region: solve dwarf anomalies

**CMB** constraint

Halo shape bound

**Direct detection** 

- Three parameters:  $(\alpha_{\chi}, m_{\chi}, m_{\phi})$
- Require sufficient annihilation for fixed  $\alpha_{\chi}$   $\alpha_X\gtrsim 4\times 10^{-5}(m_X/{\rm GeV})$
- No constraints on annihilation (only  $\chi$  present after freezeout)
- Self-interactions in dwarfs to solve small scale anomalies  $0.1 \leq \sigma/m_{\chi} \leq 10 \text{ cm}^2/\text{s}$  for v ~ 30 km/s

Vogelsberger et al (2012); Rocha et al (2012)

• Constraints from halo shapes (ellipticity of group halos)

 $\sigma/m_\chi \lesssim 1~{
m cm}^2/{
m s}~$  for v ~ 300 km/s  $_{\it Peter\,et\,al}$  (2012)

• Bullet cluster constraint

 $\sigma/m_\chi \lesssim 1~{
m cm}^2/{
m s}~$  for v ~ 3000 km/s Randall et al (2007)



Shaded region: solve dwarf anomalies

Halo shape bound



Shaded region: solve dwarf anomalies

Halo shape bound



Shaded region: solve dwarf anomalies

Halo shape bound



Shaded region: solve dwarf anomalies

Halo shape bound



SIDM coupled via kinetic mixing

Shaded region: solve dwarf anomalies

Halo shape bound Bullet cluster

**Direct detection** 

![](_page_31_Figure_1.jpeg)

SIDM coupled via kinetic mixing

Shaded region: solve dwarf anomalies

Halo shape bound Bullet cluster

**Direct detection** 

![](_page_32_Figure_1.jpeg)

SIDM coupled via kinetic mixing

Shaded region: solve dwarf anomalies

Halo shape bound Bullet cluster

# Conclusions (part 1)

- Simplified model: DM  $\chi$  + vector mediator  $\phi$
- Anomalies on dwarf scales:  $m_{\phi} \sim 1 100 \text{ MeV}$
- Although SIDM may be decoupled from direct detection, expect DM-SM coupling at some level
- Light mediator means direct detection sensitive to very small DM-SM couplings
- Current & future direct detection exploring "BBN parameter region" ( $\phi \rightarrow$  SM before BBN)

# Conclusions (part 2)

- Direct detection complementary to astrophysics
  - Constraints on large scales (e.g. Bullet Cluster) constrain SIDM at low DM mass (constant  $\sigma)$
  - Direct detection constrain SIDM at WIMP-scale masses (corresponding to v-dependent  $\sigma$ )

![](_page_34_Figure_4.jpeg)